Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/314,957	IIDA, JUNICHI
Examiner	Art Unit
Joseph R. Pokrzywa	2622

	Joseph R. Pokrzywa	2622
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Joseph R. Pokrzywa.	(3) NAO YUKI TSUC	HIYA
(2) <u>William Pieprz</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 April 2003.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 20		·
Identification of prior art discussed:	U.S. PAT. 5,608;	7 <i>8</i> 6)
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	☐ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	_	if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no dallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview require	e last Office action has already FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS SUBSTANCE OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an
agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Discussed proposed amendment which would clarify
the ten "structured document" by adding HTMY
formulas. The examiner ances that the proposed amendment
would over one the current art of record
•